

IDAHO STATE UNIVERSITY
GRADUATE COUNCIL
MEMORANDUM # 996

DATE: 06, February 2019

TIME: 2:30 p.m.

PLACE: Museum Building, Graduate School Conference Room #410

MEMBERS PRESENT: A. Farris, Y. Chen, M. Levay, R. Hale, S. Tavernier, R. Lindbeck, S. Mousavinezhad, T. Collum, G. Murphy, K. Marsh, K. Scott, A. Eakins, S. Pashikanti

MEMBERS ABSENT/EXCUSED: D. Xu

GUESTS: Sri Pashikanti sitting in for D. Xu

I. ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. Dean's Remarks

We will be voting electronically for faculty nominations. Council agrees that tomorrow 2/7/19 will be sufficient time to vote on the nominations.

Competition, which we are excited about, is happening on the 12 of February. It is a 3-minute state wide competition. ISU is taking five students. Five are also coming from the University of Idaho. This is a friendly competition. Invited legislators and others that are decision makers in the state will be in attendance. There will be short videos that will highlight research within the state. The video will go back and forth between the institutions. If you have something you think is really outstanding, forward it to us to have showcased at the event. If you have people within your discipline that should come or if you can come, please join us. We can also send you an invitation.

Dean Search Meeting Updates: We will be meeting on February 8th, Paul Link will be there as the chair. Denise Hopster and Henry Evans will also be there for a charge forward. The following meeting we will be accompanied by the Provost. We will have a separate meeting with the search firm.

II. MINUTES

Memorandum #995

(MSC 10y, 0n, 0ab) Motion to approve

Correction: Item III, A- remove b after 15.

III. COUNCIL BUSINESS

A. CP-COAL-Global Studies and Languages- Spanish Master curricular alignment
(MSC 9y, 0n, 1ab) Motion to table

Speak with the director of the Graduate program. On the capstone it says that there is a defense. Is this along the lines of a thesis? How does this capstone project work? Capstone project defense on page 12. Catalog needs to be clear on what is involved with a defense. Last page, change the language to say 30 credits. Remove the 2 years; two years implies 36 credits. Update the course titles for efficiency. 5541 or 5542 Survey of Literature. Critical Theory in the catalog is listed as 5540. M. Levay will update the department of these changes/edits.

B. CP-COHP-Counseling- Catalog Dismissal Policy
(MSC 9y, 0n, 1ab) Motion to table

Have a representative join the council for further discussion and/or have the changes clearly noted on the proposal.

C. OI- Dismissal Appeal Draft
Non-voting item

Discussion:

What counts as violating an ethical or professional standard? If it's academic in nature, the council will have purview. Clarify point number 3- it currently says that plagiarism or cheating would not be under the council's purview. Number 2- Notice of Pending Dismissals- Students sometimes don't know they are getting dismissed and sometimes want to fight that dismissals. It is not clear- students are given 15 days- not clear if its working days or calendar days. The dean receives 30 working days. The timeline needs to be well balanced. Timeline is needed on the notice of the dismissal after the department has met- will change that to 5 working days. Currently we are sending certified mail-moving to email No.3 first paragraph- should read, only email. Should be a university-wide decision. What is the university policy on communicating with students on these serious matters?

D. OI- Outdated Credits Policy

Non-voting Item

Discussion: 25% was agreed upon at the last meeting. We are going to reverse that policy. We spoke to Selena from institutional effectiveness. Northwest is looking for consistency. A percentage could be seen as arbitrary by the state board and would be difficult for us to defend. We would like to come up with a committee to work on exceptions. Put together a rubric for understanding the currency of credits being petitioned. We can look to see what other institutions are using and build upon that. Selena mentioned focusing on the outcomes versus focusing on the time.

IV. ELECTRONIC VOTING

A. FA- COE- Brenda Lee Jacobsen

(MSC 8y, 0n, 2ab) Motion to approve

Discussion: She meets criteria for full grad faculty.

B. FA- COE- Danielle Burningham

(MSC 8y, 0n, 2ab) Motion to approve

C. FA- CRCS- David Wood

Previously Submitted on 01/23/2019

Discussion: For further, only graduate faculty can vote on candidates for graduate faculty. Note that an adhoc form is required for faculty to teach courses if they have not yet received Graduate Faculty status. Make a case or a claim that the faculty has met the requirements

(MSC 6y, 2n, 2ab) Motion to approve

Discussion: Most recent presentation not at his own workplace was 15 years ago. Not must justification given on why his appointment is important to the program.

No terminal degree, exceptional case not articulated

D. FA- CRCS- Nancy Cheadle-Winberg

Previously Submitted on 01/23/2019

Discussion: For further, only graduate faculty can vote on candidates for graduate faculty. Note that an adhoc form is required for faculty to teach courses if they have not yet received Graduate Faculty status. Make a case or a claim that the faculty has met the requirements

(MSC 8y, 0n, 2ab) Motion to approve

E. FF- RCS- Evans V. Papa

(MSC 8y, 0n, 2ab) Motion to approve

V. NEXT MEETING:

Wednesday February 20, 2019

VI. OTHER NOTES OR INFORMATION:

CP- Curriculum Proposal

FA- Faculty Nominations, Allied

FF- Faculty Nominations, Full

OI- Other Items

Meeting adjourned @4:42pm.